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## 1.0 <br> Introduction

## 1.1 <br> Purpose

This transportation report identifies the existing and planned multi-modal transportation issues and opportunities that will influence the development and investment within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area. Issues and opportunities are identified through a review of existing transportation policies, and existing and forecast study area conditions. The area network performance is assessed and recommendations identified to mitigate issues, and maintain and enhance the efficiency and safety for all modes of travel.

Background
Ingersoll has a vibrant history as a small farming community on the banks of the Thames River. It is centrally located in southwest Ontario, accessible by Highway 401 and VIA Rail. It has a rich culture, quaint downtown, good employment opportunities and access to recreational and leisure activities, and is targeted for steady residential and employment growth. Of the 47,200 people and 21,100 job growth forecasted for Oxford County to $2046^{1}, 5,850$ people and 3,160 jobs are projected for Ingersoll. After factoring out growth that can be accommodated within the existing Built-up Area and the Designated Greenfield Area, Hemson's Land Need Assessment identified a need for an additional 75 gross hectares for residential and 109 gross hectares for employment by 2039. To help support long term growth, a boundary adjustment (effective January 2021) brought $\sim 630$ hectares of land from South-West Oxford into the Town of Ingersoll, which is the subject of this Secondary Plan study. In order to support long term growth, a number of technical studies and analysis is required to justify the settlement boundary expansion, confirm the community vision, manage land use compatibility and guide sustainable development and infrastructure investment.

There are generally three areas that make up the Secondary Plan study area, as described below and presented in Figure 1:

- East Side of Ingersoll: Approximately 59 hectares located north of Highway 401, east of County Road 119 / Harris Street. Current uses include manufacturing (steel fabricator) agricultural uses, including existing livestock operation (dairy) and associated residential. Surrounding uses include residential to the north (Special Policy Area), Hall's Creek Environmental Protection Area and watercourse to the west, Highway 401 to the south and agricultural lands to the east;
- West Side of Ingersoll: Approximately 280 hectares located north of Highway 401, west of County Road 10 / Ingersoll Street South and the CAMI plant, south of the Thames River and east of the Five Points Provincially Significant Wetland. Current uses include a large storage lot to the south, CP Rail corridor to the north and a spur line bisecting the study area connecting the

[^0]storage lot to the CP Rail corridor. There are agricultural uses west of Wallace Line and north of Thomas Road, several woodlots as well as some residential uses along King Street West. Surrounding uses include industry to the east (with a Special Policy Area between Thomas Road and the CP Rail corridor), and agricultural uses (including livestock operations) and a logistics company to the west; and

- South Side of Ingersoll: Approximately 280 hectares located south of Highway 401, north of Curry Road, east of Plank Line and west of Union Road. The land is currently predominately agricultural use, including livestock operations (chickens) with some associated residential. There is the Heslop Swamp Provincially Significant Wetland, watercourse and the CP Rail Line dissecting the Study Area, and a motel, storage facility and a large telecommunications tower towards Plank Line. Surrounding uses include industrial, agricultural uses and a golf course to the north of Highway 401, and agricultural uses to the east, south and west of the Study Area.

The Secondary Plan is intended to:

- Justify the settlement boundary expansion and address the remaining provincial policy requirements;
- Confirm a vision for the Study Area and identify alternative development concepts that would achieve the vision;
- Conduct a multi-disciplinary evaluation of the alternative development concepts and select the preferred alternative;
- Identify the required infrastructure, public facilities and policies needed to support and guide development; and
- Facilitate a collaborative, transparent and engaging process that supports good planning outcomes.


## Figure 1: Study Area

## STUDY AREA
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## 2.0 <br> Background Information and Development Policy Framework

The study area is located in the Town of Ingersoll in the County of Oxford. Therefore, the transportation components of the Secondary Plan will refer to, build on, and be subject to guidance from the following existing strategic policy documents:

- Town of Ingersoll Corporate Strategic Plan (2022)
- Oxford County Official Plan (2021);
- Oxford County Transportation Master Plan (2019);
- Oxford County Cycling Master Plan (2022); and
- Oxford County Trails Master Plan (2014).

The aforementioned policy framework documents are briefly described in below.

## 2.1

Town of Ingersoll Corporate Strategic Plan
The Town of Ingersoll Corporate Strategic Plan is a framework to guide Council and staff in the decisionmaking process and to direct resources to where they are needed to move the Town towards its desired future as envisioned by the community and articulated in the Plan.

The plan identifies the town's strategic pillars and goals and provides a prioritised action plan for achieving them. This includes the investment in recreational amenities and active transportation to respond to resident needs, with a specific action to develop more trails.

## 2.2 <br> Oxford County Official Plan

The Oxford County Official Plan is a set of policies intended to guide settlement within the county, including extent, pattern, and type of settlement. It is also designed to manage the use of land and resources to maintain and/or improve the quality of the natural environment and the quality of life of residents.

The Official Plan identifies the county's development strategy, policies related to growth management and land use, and supporting elements including transportation policy. Specifically for the Town of Ingersoll, the strategic transportation policy approach is to "provide a safe and efficient multi-modal transportation system which moves people and goods into and through the Town while meeting the present and future needs of the Town". Relevant transportation policies for the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan include:

- When traffic conditions warrant, intersection improvements in the form of jog eliminations, installation of traffic signals, and channelization construction will be undertaken at the intersections indicated on Schedule I-4;
- Bicycling shall be promoted and improvements initiated that enhance bicycling as a means of transportation;
- The provision of sidewalks in or adjacent to new development as follows:
- on both sides of arterial and collector roads; and
- on at least one side of local streets;
- The elimination or improvement to level railway crossings, as indicated on Schedule I-4; and
- The Town will actively pursue the maintenance and improvement of rail service sufficient to meet the needs of industrial uses within the Town.


### 2.3 Oxford County Transportation Master Plan

The Oxford County 2019 Transportation Master Plan (TMP) is a strategic transportation policy document for the County. It defines policies, programs and infrastructure required to accommodate anticipated growth in transportation demand to the year 2038 (and beyond).

The TMP presents a mode share target for the year 2038 and identifies key transportation strategies, including a road network strategy, active transportation strategy, people and goods movement strategy, and transportation system sustainability and new technology strategy, to reach the target mode share and accommodate anticipated future demand in the county. It also provides an implementation timeframe for specific tasks related to the various strategies. Relevant actions for the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan include:

- Oxford Road 9 (within Ingersoll) is identified for a road urbanization in relation to new development/ future growth;
- Continue with the program to provide a wider asphalt platform with edge line on rural roads as part of regular resurfacing programs and incorporate cycling facilities as part of any urban road reconstruction; and
- Upgrade railway grade crossings (based on current Transport Canada regulations) as required in collaboration with Rail Authorities.


### 2.4 Oxford County Cycling Master Plan

The Oxford County Cycling Master Plan identifies a proposed cycling network, including the location, facility type and priority of the various projects, for the county based on major destinations and high demand routes. It also provides an implementation strategy for the proposed network, and highlights relevant design guidelines to guide the design of bicycle facilities in the County. The proposed cycling projects relevant to the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area are discussed in Section 3.1.1.2 and illustrated in Figure 2.

## Oxford County Trails Master Plan

The Oxford County Trails Master Plan contains objectives, a vision, and goals for the implementation of off-road trails and on-road cycling facilities in the County. It identifies gaps in the system and highlights opportunities for network improvements. The plan contains maps illustrating the proposed route network within the County, including location and facility type of the proposed trails, and identifies the level of priority of the various routes. The plan also provides a number of implementation tools to assist in implementing the trails network.

However, the proposed on-road cycling network within the Trails Master Plan has been superseded by the proposed cycling network in the Cycling Master Plan and the Trails Master Plan does not propose any off-road trails in or around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.
3.1 Existing Networks and Demands

Active Transportation
The South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area contains a number of existing and planned Active Transportation (AT) facilities as illustrated in Figure 2.

EXISTING AND PLANNED ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK
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## Pedestrian Facilities

Within the study area, King Street West is the only road with consistent sidewalks on both sides. Clarke Road and Culloden Road (north of Samnah Crescent) have sidewalks on one side of the street, while Harris Street varies from zero to two sidewalks along its length. All other study area roads do not have sidewalks.
3.1.1.2 Cycling Facilities

There are no existing cycling facilities within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan Area. However, there are conventional bike lanes in both directions adjacent to the Secondary Plan Area along Clarke Road between Ingersoll Street and Plank Line, as illustrated in Figure 2. This cycling facility provides south Ingersoll with an east-west facility that connects local residential areas to employers, including the Cami assembly plant.

Additionally, there are a number of County cycling facilities that were recently planned as part of the Oxford County Cycling Master Plan (CMP). The CMP proposes 'separated' cycling facilities (i.e. Inboulevard multi-use pathways, or buffered bike lanes / buffered paved shoulders) along Ingersoll Street (County Road 10) and 'designated' cycling facilities (i.e. bike lanes / paved shoulders) along Culloden Line (County Road 10). These proposed cycling facilities will eventually connect the existing bike lanes along Clarke Road and a multi-use trail along Ingersoll Street (see Section 3.1.1.3) to the Secondary Plan Area south of Highway 401.
3.1.1.3 Multi-Use Facilities

There are no existing multi-use (cyclists and pedestrians) facilities within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan Area. However, there is an existing multi-use facility directly adjacent to the Secondary Plan Area along Ingersoll Street South between Clarke Road to Thomas Street, as illustrated in Figure 2. This multi-use trail, known locally as the Douglas Carr Memorial Trail, is a 1.5 km long paved multi-use trail that effectively connects the local residential areas to employers, including the Cami assembly plant. The trail is maintained year-round, including snow clearing, so it is an option for commuters regardless of the season.

Transit
The South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area is not serviced by public transit. However, T:GO InterCommunity Transit Service, a regional transit service operated by the Town of Tillsonburg, has two routes (Route 1 and Route 4) that travel through the study area with stops within the Town of Ingersoll. The T:GO Inter-Community Transit routes passing through the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area are illustrated in Figure 3.

## EXISTING TRANSIT ROUTES



The existing roadway classifications (as per Schedule l-4 of the County of Oxford Official Plan) in and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area are illustrated in Figure 4.

## EXISTING ROADWAY CLASSIFICATIONS
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Table 1 summarizes the main roadways in and around the study area by their jurisdiction and functional classification as listed in Schedule I-4 of the County of Oxford Official Plan.

Table 1: Main Roadways by Jurisdiction and Functional Classifications In and Around the Study Area

| Road | Jurisdiction | Road Classification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 401 | Ministry of Transportation, Ontario <br> (MTO) | Provincial Freeway |
| Plank Line <br> (Highway 19) | Ministry of Transportation, Ontario <br> (MTO) | Provincial Highway |
| Harris Street / Plank Line <br> (County Road 119) | Oxford County | Arterial |
| Culloden Line <br> (County Road 10) | Oxford County | Arterial |
| King Street West <br> (County Road 9) | Oxford County | Arterial |
| Ingersoll Street South <br> (County Road 10) | Oxford County | Arterial |
| Culloden Road | Town of Ingersoll | Collector |
| Clarke Road | Town of Ingersoll | Collector |
| Thomas Street / Thomas Road | Town of Ingersoll | Local |
| Curry Road | Town of Ingersoll | Local |
| Union Road | Town of Ingersoll | Local |
| Wallace Line / Thompson Road | Town of Ingersoll | Local |
| Robinson Road |  |  |

Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of roadways in and around the study area.

Table 2: Main Roadway Characteristics In and Around the Study Area

| Road | Number of <br> Lanes within <br> Study Area | Posted Speed <br> Limit | On-Street Parking <br> within Study Area* | Load Restrictions <br> within Study Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 401 | 6 | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | None | None |
| Plank Line <br> (Highway 19) | 2 | $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | None | None |

Notes: $\quad$ *Parking is prohibited between 3:00AM and 6:00AM during the winter months (December 1 to March 31)

## Highway 401

Highway 401 is a 6-lane divided freeway spanning Ontario from Windsor to the provincial border with Quebec. It has paved shoulders and a median barrier. Highway 401 has two interchanges within Ingersoll; a partial cloverleaf (parclo A2) at County Road 10, and a double roundabout at County Road $119 /$ Highway 19. The speed limit is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and parking/stopping are prohibited.

## Plank Line (Highway 19)

Plank Line is a 2-lane Provincial Highway. It travels from Highway 401 south into the town of Tillsonburg. North of Highway 401, Plank Line transitions to Harris Street. Plank line has a rural cross-section with variable paved and unpaved shoulders, and a posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Parking is prohibited along the entire length of Plank Line.

## Harris Street (County Road 119)

Harris Street is a 2-lane arterial running between Charles Street E/Beachville Road and Highway 401. It transitions to Pemberton Street north of Charles Street East and to Plank Line south of Highway 401. South of Canterbury Street, Harris Street has a rural cross-section with primarily unpaved shoulders and a speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. It transitions to an urban cross-section north of Canterbury Street, with sidewalks on one or both sides (varies along its length) and a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Parking is prohibited along the entire length of Harris Street.

## Culloden Road/Line (County Road 10)

Culloden Road is a 4-lane arterial with an urban cross-section. It has sidewalks on the east side between Maple Lane and Samnah Crescent, and no sidewalks south of Samnah Crescent. Culloden Road has a posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and both parking and stopping are prohibited along its entire length. Culloden Road travels over Highway 401 and transitions to Culloden Line, where it has a 2-lane rural cross-section with unpaved shoulders and a posted speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Parking is prohibited along Culloden Line as well.

## King Street West (County Road 9)

King Street is a 2-lane arterial that travels east/west through Ingersoll and connects to London to the west and Woodstock to the east. Within Ingersoll, King Street has an urban cross-section with sidewalks on both sides and a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Parking is prohibited along a good portion of King Street, however is permitted between approximately Church Avenue and Mill Street.

## Ingersoll Street South (County Road 10)

Ingersoll Street South is a 4-lane arterial running between King Street West and Culloden Road. North of King St West it transitions to a 2-lane road as Ingersoll Street North. Ingersoll Street South has an urban cross-section with no sidewalks and on-street parking prohibited along its entire length. It has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Key Intersections and Controls
The key intersections that were assessed within and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area are as follows:

- Plank Line (Highway 19) \& Highway 401 Westbound Ramps;
- Plank Line (Highway 19) \& Highway 401 Eastbound Ramps;
- Culloden Line (County Road 10) \& Highway 401 Eastbound Ramps;
- Culloden Line (County Road 10) \& Ingersoll Street South / Highway 401 Westbound Ramps;
- Harris Street (County Road 119) \& Clarke Road;
- Plank Line (Highway 19) \& Curry Road;
- Curry Road \& Union Road;
- Culloden Line (County Road 10) \& Union Road;
- Ingersoll Street South (County Road 10) \& Clarke Road;
- Ingersoll Street South (County Road 10) \& Thompson Road;
- Ingersoll Street South (County Road 10) \& Thomas Street;
- Ingersoll Street South (County Road 10) \& King Street West (County Road 9);
- Thomas Road \& Wallace Line; and
- Wallace Line \& Robinson Road.

Figure 5 shows the existing (2022) traffic controls and lane arrangements at the key intersections in and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

Figure 5: Existing Intersection Traffic Control and Lane Configuration


The railway crossings that were assessed within and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area are as follows:

- King Street, east of Ingersoll Street South;
- Ingersoll Street South, south of King Street;
- Thomas Road, west of Wallace Line;
- Thomas Road, east of Wallace Line; and
- Curry Road, east of Plank Line.

All of the railway crossings are at-grade, and are operated by the Ontario Southland Rail line.

Road-rail crossing types are evaluated based on an Exposure Index²:

Exposure Index (EI) = Average Daily Traffic (ADT) x Number of Daily Trains

The thresholds for crossing types based on the exposure index are as follows:

- $\mathrm{EI}<1,000=$ Passive Crossing;
- El 1,000 - 50,000 = Active Crossing, Flashing Lights and Bells;
- EI 50,000 - 200,000 = Active Crossing, Flashing Lights, Bells and Gate; and
- $\mathrm{EI} \geq 200,000=$ Grade Separated Crossing.

Dillon commissioned 24 hour traffic counts during October and November 2022. The 24 hour ATR counts collected motorcycle, automobile and heavy vehicle counts and speeds for a 24 hour period adjacent to each of the aforementioned road-rail crossings within the study area. Table 3 summarizes the characteristics of each of the 24 hour traffic counts that were conducted.

Table 3: 24-Hour Traffic Count Characteristics

| Count Location | Count Type | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curry Road - 700m west of Plank Line | ATR | Thursday October 272022 |
| King Street West - 100m east of Ingersoll Street South | ATR | Thursday October 62022 |
| Ingersoll Street - 60m south of King Street West | ATR | Wednesday October 262022 |
| Thomas Road - 380m west of Wallace Line | ATR | Wednesday November 22022 |
| Thomas Road - 130m east of Wallace Line | ATR | Thursday October 202022 |

Notes: ATR = Automatic Traffic Recorder

Figure 6 shows the existing (2022) railway crossing types in and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area, along with the 24 hour vehicle volumes on the links adjacent to the railway crossings.
${ }^{2}$ Transport Canada, Grade Crossing Standards, January 2019.

Figure 6: Existing Railway Crossing Types


Notes: Unlabeled railway crossings were not assessed due to their location outside of the Secondary Plan analysis area.

Table 4 summarises the existing railway crossing review for each of the aforementioned road-rail crossings.

Table 4: Existing Railway Crossing Type Review

| Railway Crossing Location | Crossing Type | Trains <br> per Day* | ADT** | Exposure <br> Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curry Road | Passive Crossing | 4 | 79 | 316 |
| King Street West | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and Bells) | 6 | 4,990 | 29,940 |
| Ingersoll Street | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and Bells) | 6 | 4,318 | 25,908 |
| Thomas Road west of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 4 | 107 | 428 |
| Thomas Road east of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 8 | 354 | 2,832 |

Notes: *At the time of collection (October 2022), the General Motors CAMI Assembly plant was shut down for retooling. As a result, fewer trains that usual were operating.
** 24 hour traffic counts were conducted during October and November 2022

Based on the Exposure Indices displayed in Table 4, the road-rail crossing at Thomas Road east of Wallace Line is more than 2 times higher than the base line reference of 1,000 . Therefore, this location should be upgraded to an active crossing with flashing lights and bells, similar to those at the railway crossings on King Street West and Ingersoll Street.

Travel Demands
Dillon commissioned peak hour traffic counts during October 2022. Table 5 summarizes the characteristics of each of the traffic counts that were conducted.

Table 5: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Count Characteristics

| Count Location | Count <br> Type | Date |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plank Line (Hwy 19) \& Hwy 401 WB Ramps | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Plank Line (Hwy 19) \& Hwy 401 EB Ramps | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Culloden Line (CR 10) \& Hwy 401 EB Ramps | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Culloden Line (CR 10) \& Ingersoll Street South / Hwy 401 WB Ramps | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Harris Street (CR 119) \& Clarke Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Plank Line (Hwy 19) \& Curry Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Curry Road \& Union Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Culloden Line (CR 10) \& Union Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Ingersoll Street South (CR 10) \& Clarke Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Ingersoll Street South (CR 10) \& Thompson Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Ingersoll Street South (CR 10) \& Thomas Street | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Ingersoll Street South (CR 10) \& King Street West (CR 9) | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Thomas Road \& Wallace Line | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |
| Wallace Line \& Robinson Road | TMC | Tuesday October 252022 |

Notes: TMC = Turning Movement Counts

The TMC's collected automobile, heavy vehicle, cyclist, and pedestrian counts for the PM peak period (16:00-18:00) at the study area intersections. With reference to these counts, there is minimal existing pedestrian and cycling travel during the peak hour within the study area.

Figure 7 shows the existing peak hour vehicle demands at the key intersections in and around the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area, rounded to the nearest 5 vehicles. The peak hour counts were balanced wherever deemed appropriate.

Figure 7: Existing Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour


## 3.2 <br> Existing Conditions Performance

### 3.2.1 Methodology

Level of service (LOS) and capacity analysis was completed using Trafficware's Synchro 11 software, which employs the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000) methodology, and Transportation Research Laboratory's ARCADY 9. Only the PM peak hour has been assessed as it is the critical operating time period for the road network. Dillon incorporated existing traffic signal timing plans obtained from MTO to complete the analysis. Where possible, the signal timings were optimized during the analysis to improve intersection performance results.

### 3.2.2

## Road Network Performance

Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 display the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections. LOS, capacity, and delay are only given for the stop controlled movements at unsignalized intersections. The detailed Synchro and ARCADY operations reports for existing conditions are compiled in Appendix A.

Table 6: Existing: Overall Intersection Levels of Service - PM Peak Hour

|  | PM |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | LOS |
| Culloden Road/Line @ Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB Ramps | A |
| Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ |

Notes: +Unsignalized Intersection - Critical Movement

Table 7: Existing: Critical Movement, LOS and Capacity - PM Peak Hour (Signalized Intersections)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB left | 0.18 | B | 15.4 |
|  | EB through | 0.40 | B | 16.5 |
| Culloden Road/Line @ | EB right | 0.15 | A | 0.2 |
| Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB | WB left | 0.25 | B | 15.8 |
| Ramps | WB through/right | 0.17 | B | 15.0 |
|  | NB left | 0.09 | A | 6.3 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.23 | A | 6.9 |
|  | SB left | 0.26 | A | 7.9 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.28 | A | 7.7 |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2}$ | A | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |
|  | EB approach | 0.19 | A | 9.9 |
| Culloden Line @ | NB left | 0.12 | A | 7.8 |
| Highway 401 EB Ramps | NB through | 0.10 | A | 7.6 |
|  | SB through | 0.35 | A | 8.7 |

Table 8: Existing: Critical Movement, LOS and Capacity - PM Peak Hour (Unsignalized Intersections)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | NB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.7 |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | EB approach | 0.02 | A | 8.6 |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | EB approach WB approach NB through NB right SB left SB through/right | $\begin{aligned} & 0.29 \\ & 0.43 \\ & 0.43 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.1 \\ 7.8 \\ 10.7 \\ 10.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & 11.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | WB approach | 0.03 | A | 9.3 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | EB approach | 0.12 | B | 10.6 |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 10.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | SB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.5 |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | EB left EB through WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.19 \\ & 0.43 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \\ & 20.3 \\ & 23.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps* | WB approach NB approach SB approach Overall |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps* | EB approach NB approach SB approach Overall |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 3.9 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 0.06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \\ & 21.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Notes: *Roundabout analysis conducted using ARCADY 9
Critical movements are identified as those with a volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio of 0.85 and above and/or with a LOS F. There are presently no critical movements at any of the study intersections due to the low traffic volumes in the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area. There is limited demand on the local roads in the study area, including Wallace Line, Robinson Road, Union Road and Curry Road. Consequently, all movements operate at LOS B or better at all intersections between two local roads. Otherwise, no movement operates below a LOS D , and the greatest $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of any movement is 0.43 . Ultimately, capacity and LOS are not presently of concern within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

This section provides an overview of the future background transportation conditions within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

### 4.1 Forecasted Future Background Demand

### 4.1.1 Background Growth

The future conditions assessment was completed for 2046, the horizon year for the Oxford County Official Plan.

An average annual growth rate for background vehicle traffic volumes was estimated by considering the following data sources:

- The Oxford County Official Plan indicated a projected population growth of approximately $1.2 \%$ per year between 2016 and 2046;
- The Oxford County Official Plan indicated an employment growth rate of $1.0 \%$ per year between 2016 and 2046; and
- The 2016 Canadian Census showed a $1.4 \%$ annual growth rate for the Town of Ingersoll between 2016 and 2021.

A compound annual growth rate (CAGR) for background vehicle traffic volumes of $1 \%$ per annum was selected (for all auto movements) to project the 2046 future background traffic volumes. This growth rate is believed to be conservative as it only needs to account for growth outside of the Secondary Plan area. In reality much of the growth within the Town of Ingersoll will be occurring within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

Future Background Volumes
Figure 8 shows the 2046 future background traffic demands at the key intersections in and around the South West Ingersoll study area with the assumed $1 \%$ annual growth rate applied.

Figure 8: Future Background (2046) Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour


## Future Background Performance

Assumptions
The intersection analysis was completed based on the following assumptions:

- Signal splits were optimized under the assumption that signal timings will change in the coming years to allow the intersections to operate as efficiently as possible; and
- Peak Hour Factors (PHFs) were adjusted to 1.00 for all intersections given the intended purpose (establishing infrastructure requirements) of the analysis. A PHF of 1.00 implies that traffic levels are evenly spread out over the whole hour, which is the case for intersections that are near capacity within the peak hour.

Road Network Performance
4.2.2.1 Intersection Level of Service and Capacity Analysis

Table 9, Table 10 and Table 11 display the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections. LOS, capacity, and delay are only given for the stop-controlled movements at unsignalized intersections. The detailed Synchro and ARCADY operations reports for future background conditions are compiled in Appendix B.

Table 9: Future Background (2046): Overall Intersection Levels of Service - PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | Existing | 2046 FB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS |  |
| Culloden Road/Line @ Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | A |
| Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | A |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ |

Notes: +Unsignalized Intersection - Critical Movement

Table 10: Future Background (2046): Critical Movement, LOS and Capacity - PM Peak Hour (Signalized Intersections)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB left | 0.19 | B | 16.9 |
|  | EB through | 0.40 | B | 18.0 |
| Culloden Road/Line @ | EB right | 0.17 | A | 0.3 |
| Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB | WB left | 0.27 | B | 17.4 |
| Ramps | WB through/right | 0.18 | B | 16.5 |
|  | NB left | 0.11 | A | 6.8 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.25 | A | 7.5 |
|  | SB left | 0.30 | A | 8.8 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.32 | A | 8.5 |
| Culloden Line @ | Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ | A | 9.6 |
| Highway 401 EB Ramps | EB approach | 0.17 | B | 11.5 |
|  | NB left | 0.14 | B | 10.7 |
|  | NB through | 0.11 | B | 10.4 |

Table 11: Future Background (2046): Critical Movement, LOS and Capacity - PM Peak Hour (Unsignalized Intersections)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wallace Line @ <br> Thomas Road | NB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.8 |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | EB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.6 |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | EB approach WB approach NB through NB right SB left SB through | $\begin{aligned} & 0.38 \\ & 0.54 \\ & 0.55 \\ & 0.08 \\ & 0.30 \\ & 0.38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ 16.1 \\ 17.1 \\ 8.5 \\ 12.3 \\ 12.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.7 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | WB approach | 0.06 | A | 9.9 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | EB approach | 0.17 | B | 11.6 |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 11.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | SB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.4 |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | EB left EB through WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \\ & 0.61 \\ & 0.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.1 \\ & 30.9 \\ & 43.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps* | WB approach NB approach SB approach Overall |  | A | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 5.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps* | EB approach NB approach SB approach Overall |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7 \\ & 4.6 \\ & 5.5 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | EB approach WB approach | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 25.5 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes: *Roundabout analysis conducted using ARCADY 9
Critical movements are identified as those with a volume to capacity (v/c) ratio of 0.85 and above and/or with a LOS F. The only critical movement under future background conditions is the eastbound left at the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road. However, no mitigation is proposed under future background conditions as the movements delay ( 52.1 seconds) only exceed the threshold for becoming critical by 2.1 seconds and the movement has a low v/c ratio of 0.35 . All other movements at study intersections operate at a LOS D or better. Ultimately, capacity and LOS are not of concern at the study intersections under future background conditions within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

This section introduces and provides an overview of the proposed development concept of the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area and future transportation network.

### 5.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development is approximately 623 hectares that was subdivided into three development blocks, East of Ingersoll (east of Harris Street), South of Ingersoll (south of Highway 401), and West of Ingersoll (west of Ingersoll Street and north of Highway 401). Figure 9 presents the South West Ingersoll Land Use Plan.

The proposed development blocks are broken down by land use and the proposed number of residential units and jobs at full build out in Table 12.

Table 12: Proposed Land Use Composition by Development Block

| Development Block | Land Use | Residential <br> Units | Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Ingersoll | Low Density Residential <br> Medium Density Residential | 200 | 761 |

## LAND USE PLAN
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PROPOSED PUBLIC REALM IMPROVEMENTS AND ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK
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### 6.0 Site Generated Trips

Each of the development blocks within the proposed development concept will generate and attract new vehicle trips.

For ease of analysis, the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area was subdivided into eight Traffic Analysis Zones based on the existing transportation network, access points, physical barriers and the proposed development concept. The Traffic Zones and assumed access points are displayed in Figure 12. It should be noted that no direct access onto Culloden Line (County Road 10) or Plank Line (Highway 19) is being proposed.

The Traffic Zones are broken down by land use and the proposed number of residential units and jobs at full build out in Table 13.

Table 13: Proposed Land Use Composition by Traffic Zone

| Traffic Zone | Land Use | Residential Units | Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low Density Residential | 200 | - |
| 2 | Medium Density Residential | 152 | - |
| 3 | Low Density Residential | - | - |
| 4 | Medium Density Residential | 609 | - |
| 5 | Service Commercial | - | 443 |
| 6 | Prime Industrial | - | 300 |
| 7 | Prime Commercial | - | 1,108 |
|  | Prime Industrial | - | 129 |
|  | Prime Industrial | - | 665 |

## TRAFFIC ZONES
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## 6.1 <br> Trip Generation

Dillon used the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, to estimate the vehicle trips generated by the proposed development. The rates used were for land uses that were assumed to be closest to the proposed development land use classifications. The trip generation rates used are summarized in Table 14.

Table 14: Vehicle Trip Generation Rates by Land Use Type - PM Peak Hour

| Land Use | Unit | PM Peak <br> Hour Rate | Split |  | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification |  |  | In | Out |  |
| Low density residential | Vehicle trips per dwelling unit | 0.99 | 64\% | 36\% | ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 210 Single family detached housing |
| Medium density residential | Vehicle trips per dwelling unit | 0.61 | 62\% | 38\% | ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 215 Single family attached housing |
| Prime industrial | Vehicle trips per employee | 0.42 | 50\% | 50\% | ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 130 Industrial Park |
| Service commercial* | Vehicle trips per employee | 1.22 | 37\% | 63\% | ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 932 High Turnover Restaurant <br> ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 943 Automobile parts \& service centre <br> ITE $11^{\text {th }}$ Ed, Land Use 710 General office building |

Notes: *The service commercial trip generation rate was created as a combination of the three identified land uses

The final PM peak hour generated trips by land use for each of the traffic zones are shown in Table 15.

Table 15: Person Trips by Traffic Zone and Land Use - PM Peak Hour

| Traffic <br> Zone | Residential |  | Industrial |  | Commercial |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| 1 | 184 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 107 |
| 2 | 230 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 141 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 93 | 186 | 179 | 279 | 272 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 233 | 233 | 80 | 77 | 312 | 309 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 140 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 240 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ |
| 8 | 192 | 110 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | 158 |
| Total | 607 | 358 | 946 | 946 | 266 | 256 | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 0}$ |

6.2

## Trip Distribution

To determine the trip distribution for the South West Ingersoll study area, Dillon considered existing travel conditions, looking at percentage of vehicles entering and exiting the study area in each direction. Intersection turning movement counts were used as a guide in determining general travel patterns of vehicles within Ingersoll. The assumed trip distribution for trips originating in/destined to the study area is shown in Table 16.

Table 16: Trip Distribution based on Existing Travel Patterns

| Direction of Travel | Proportion of Trips |
| :--- | :---: |
| North | $25 \%$ |
| South | $25 \%$ |
| East | $35 \%$ |
| West | $15 \%$ |

Trip Assignment
The assignment of site trips to the road network was assumed to follow existing traffic patterns and using the most efficient and direct route into and out of each traffic zone. Table 17 shows the distribution of trips to specific routes as used for the assignment.

Table 17: Site Trip Directional Distribution by likely Travel Route

| Traffic Zone | Travel Route | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | East | South | West |
|  |  | 15\% | 45\% | 5\% | 35\% |
| 1 \& 2 | North via Ingersoll Street | 15\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 85\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 5\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 95\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  |  | 10\% |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 90\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 100\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
| 3 | North via Ingersoll Street | 10\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 80\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 10\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 95\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 5\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  |  | 20\% |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 80\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 80\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 20\% |
| 4 | North via Ingersoll Street | 20\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 30\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 50\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 70\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 25\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 5\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  |  | 50\% |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 50\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 100\% |


| Traffic Zone | Travel Route | Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | East | South | West |
|  |  | 15\% | 45\% | 5\% | 35\% |
| 5 | North via Ingersoll Street | 30\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 20\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 50\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 100\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  |  | 80\% |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 20\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 100\% |
| 6 \& 7 | North via Ingersoll Street | 100\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 100\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  |  | 80\% |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 20\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  |  | 5\% |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 5\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 90\% |
| 8 | North via Ingersoll Street | 100\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Harris Street | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | North via Culloden Road | 0\% |  |  |  |
|  | East via Clarke Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | East via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  | 90\% |  |  |
|  | East via Curry Road |  | 0\% |  |  |
|  | South via Culloden Road |  | 10\% |  |  |
|  | South via Plank Line |  |  | 80\% |  |
|  | West via Thomas Road |  |  | 20\% |  |
|  | West via Robinson Road |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Plank Line |  |  |  | 0\% |
|  | West via Highway 401 at Culloden Road |  |  |  | 10\% |
|  | North via Ingersoll Street |  |  |  | 90\% |

Based on the assumptions outlined in Table 17, the traffic volumes generated by the proposed development concept were assigned to the network. This is shown in Figure 13.

Figure 13: Site Generated Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour


This section provides an overview of the total future transportation conditions within the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area and identifies the necessary infrastructure improvements required to support the development of the South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area.

## Forecasted Total Future Demand

The total future conditions combine the anticipated future background traffic growth and the site trips generated by the proposed South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan development concept.

The combined future total anticipated traffic in shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14: Total Future (2046) Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7.2 | Total Future Performance |
| 7.2 .1 | Assumptions |

The intersection analysis was completed based on the following assumptions:

- Signal splits were optimized under the assumption that signal timings will change in the coming years to allow the intersections to operate as efficiently as possible; and
- Peak Hour Factors (PHFs) were adjusted to 1.00 for all intersections given the intended purpose (establishing infrastructure requirements) of the analysis. A PHF of 1.00 implies that traffic levels are evenly spread out over the whole hour, which is the case for intersections that are near capacity within the peak hour.

Road Network Performance
7.2.2.1

Intersection Level of Service and Capacity Analysis
Table 18 and Table 19 display the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future unmitigated conditions. Table 18 displays the overall unmitigated intersection LOS for the PM peak hour, while Table 19 displays the unmitigated results of the LOS and capacity analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections for the PM peak hour. LOS, capacity, and delay are only given for the stopcontrolled movements at unsignalized intersections.

The detailed Synchro and ARCADY operations reports for the unmitigated total future conditions can be found in Appendix C.

Table 18: Total Future (2046): Overall Intersection Levels of Service - PM Peak Hour (Unmitigated)

| Intersection | 2046 FB | 2046 TF <br> Unmitigated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS |  |
| Culloden Road/Line @ Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | B |
| Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | B |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | B |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | A |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |

Notes: +Unsignalized Intersection - Critical Movement

Table 19: Total Future (2046): Critical Movement, LOS and Capacity - PM Peak Hour (Unmitigated)

| Intersection | Movement | 2046 FB |  |  | 2046 TF - Unmitigated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| Culloden Road/Line @ Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB Ramps | EB left | 0.19 | B | 16.9 | 0.22 | C | 20.8 |
|  | EB through | 0.40 | B | 18.0 | 0.59 | C | 24.5 |
|  | EB right | 0.17 | A | 0.3 | 0.41 | A | 0.8 |
|  | WB left | 0.27 | B | 17.4 | 0.50 | C | 23.5 |
|  | WB through/right | 0.18 | B | 16.5 | 0.39 | C | 21.4 |
|  | NB left | 0.11 | A | 6.8 | 0.42 | A | 8.7 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.25 | A | 7.5 | 0.38 | A | 8.5 |
|  | SB left | 0.30 | A | 8.8 | 0.31 | A | 9.3 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.32 | A | 8.5 | 0.36 | A | 9.0 |
|  | Overall | $0.34$ | A | 9.6 | 0.53 | B | 10.7 |
| Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | EB approach | 0.19 | B | 11.7 | 0.28 | B | 13.3 |
|  | NB left | 0.15 | B | 10.5 | 0.61 | C | 20.1 |
|  | NB through | 0.12 | B | 10.4 | 0.37 | B | 11.4 |
|  | SB through | 0.41 | B | 12.3 | 0.81 | C | 20.6 |
|  | SB right | 0.23 | B | 11.1 | 0.30 | A | 0.5 |
|  | Overall | 0.31 | B | 12.7 | 0.62 | B | 12.6 |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | NB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.8 | 0.15 | A | 9.1 |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | EB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.6 | 0.02 | B | 10.7 |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | EB approach | 0.38 | B | 13.8 | 0.87 | E | 48.3 |
|  | WB approach | 0.54 | C | 16.1 | 0.80 | E | 38.8 |
|  | NB through/left | 0.55 | C | 17.1 | 1.54 | F | 279.9 |
|  | NB right | 0.08 | B | 8.5 | 0.10 | B | 11.1 |
|  | SB left | 0.30 | B | 12.3 | 0.38 | B | 17.1 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.38 | B | 12.9 | 1.03 | F | 81.4 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | EB approach | 0.12 | B | 12.7 | 0.97 | F | 99.7 |
|  | WB approach | 0.16 | B | 12.8 | 0.42 | D | 33.3 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | WB approach | 0.06 | A | 9.9 | 0.12 | B | 12.2 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | EB approach | 0.17 | B | 11.6 | 0.91 | F | 55.9 |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | EB approach | 0.00 | A | 0 | 3.11 | F | Err |
|  | WB approach | 0.02 | B | 11.0 | 1.97 | F | 509 |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | SB approach | 0.01 | A | 8.4 | 0.09 | A | 4.9 |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | EB left | 0.35 | F | 52.1 | Err | F | Err |
|  | EB through/right | 0.61 | D | 30.9 | 1.96 | F | 518 |
|  | WB approach | 0.59 | E | 43.0 | Err | F | Err |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps* | WB approach | - | A | 5.7 | - | B | 12.5 |
|  | NB approach | - | A | 5.7 | - | B | 12.0 |
|  | SB approach | - | A | 4.9 | - | A | 9.3 |
|  | Overall | - | A | 5.5 | - | B | 11.3 |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps* | EB approach | - | A | 4.7 | - | A | 7.5 |
|  | NB approach | - | A | 4.6 | - | A | 8.8 |
|  | SB approach | - | A | 5.5 | - | B | 11.7 |
|  | Overall | - | A | 4.9 | - | A | 9.7 |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ | EB approach | 0.09 | D | 29.6 | Err | F | Err |
| Curry Road | WB approach | 0.10 | D | 25.5 | 0.64 | F | 185.7 |

Notes: *Roundabout analysis conducted using ARCADY 9
"Err" indicates a delay or v/c ratio that is too high to be accurately calculated.

As noted in Table 19, the following intersections are expected to experience a poor level of service under future total conditions and will require mitigation strategies:

- Ingersoll Street @ King Street West;
- Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street;
- Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road;
- Union Road @ Culloden Line;
- Harris Street @ Clarke Road; and
- Plank Line @ Curry Road.


### 7.2.3.1 Ingersoll Street and King Street West

The intersection of Ingersoll Street at King Street West is a four legged, all-way stop controlled intersection. The east and west approaches on King Street West provide a single shared turning/through lane, while the north approach on Ingersoll Street has a dedicated left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane and the south approach on Ingersoll Street has a dedicated right turn lane and a shared through/left turn lane. With the existing infrastructure and lane arrangements, the intersection of Ingersoll Street and King Street West is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, will all four approaches close too or above capacity with a LOS F.

Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using 'Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{3}$. The analysis indicates that signals are warranted at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and King Street West.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix D.
Table 20 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and King Street West after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization.

[^2]Table 20: Total Future (2046): Ingersoll Street and King Street West - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated Signalization)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{veh})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 0.93 | D | 54.4 |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | WB approach | 0.57 | C | 21.8 |
|  | NB through/left | 0.82 | C | 20.3 |
|  | NB right | 0.03 | A | 55.6 |
|  | SB left | 0.39 | B | 10.1 |
|  | SB through | 0.38 | A | 8.1 |
|  | Overall | 0.85 | C | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2}$ |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization) total future conditions can be found in Appendix E.

To further accommodate the increased volume of northbound traffic turning left, it is recommended that the existing northbound lane configuration is updated. The existing northbound shared through/left turn lane should be converted to a designated left turn lane, and the existing right turn lane should become a shared through/right turn lane.

Table 21 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and King Street West after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization and lane modifications were applied.

Table 21: Total Future (2046): Ingersoll Street and King Street West - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated Signalization + Lane Modification)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 0.70 | B | 18.8 |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | WB approach | 0.46 | B | 13.4 |
|  | NB left | 0.49 | B | 11.5 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.47 | A | 9.4 |
|  | SB left | 0.35 | A | 9.3 |
|  | SB through | 0.45 | A | 9.1 |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization + lane modifications) total future conditions can be found in Appendix F.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

### 7.2.3.2 <br> Ingersoll Street and Thomas Street

The intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thomas Street is a four-legged, two-way stop controlled intersection, with stop control on Thomas Street. The east and west approaches on Thomas Street provide a single shared turning/through lane, while the north and south approaches on Ingersoll Street each have a through/left and a through/right lane. With the existing infrastructure and configuration, the intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thomas Street is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, will the eastbound approach over capacity with a LOS F.

Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using 'Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{4}$. The analysis indicates that signals are warranted at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thomas Street.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

Table 22 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thomas Street after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization.

Table 22: Total Future (2046): Plank Line and Curry Road - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated - Signalization)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 0.46 | B | 14.2 |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | WB approach | 0.15 | B | 12.3 |
|  | NB approach | 0.43 | A | 6.2 |
|  | SB approach | 0.28 | A | 5.2 |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization) total future conditions can be found in Appendix E.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.
7.2.3.3 Ingersoll Street and Thompson Road

The intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thompson Road is a three-legged, two-way stop-controlled intersection, with stop control on Thompson Road. The eastbound approach on Thompson Road provides a shared left-and-right-turn lane, while the northbound approach on Ingersoll Street has an auxiliary left turn lane and two through lanes and the southbound approach on Ingersoll Street has a

[^3]through lane and a through/right lane. With the existing infrastructure and lane arrangements, the intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thompson Road is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, will the stop-controlled approach over capacity with a LOS F.
Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using 'Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{5}$. The analysis indicates that signals are not warranted at the intersection of Ingersoll Street and Thompson Road. Projected volumes signal warrant analysis (Justification 7), is considered to be extremely conservative as the justification must be satisfied to $120 \%$. The intersection should be reassessed for signal eligibility closer to the horizon year.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix D.

To improve operations without signalization it is recommended that the eastbound approach be reconfigured to provide a principal right turn lane and an auxiliary left-turn lane. The eastbound rightturn lane will operate at a LOS B, and the eastbound left turn will continue to operate at a LOS F, however the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio would be reduced from 0.91 to 0.42 .

Table $\mathbf{2 3}$ displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions where the additional lane modifications have been applied.

Table 23: Total Future (2046): Ingersoll Street and Thompson Road - PM Peak Hour (Lane Modification)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> $(s / v e h)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | EB left | 0.42 | F | 61.7 |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (lane modifications) total future conditions can be found in Appendix G.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.
7.2.3.4 Union Road and Culloden Line

The intersection of Union Road and Culloden Line is a four-legged, two-way stop-controlled intersection, with stop control on Union Road. All approaches provide a shared turning/through lane. With the existing infrastructure and lane arrangements, the intersection of Union Road with Culloden Line is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, with both of the stop-controlled approaches over capacity with a LOS F.
${ }^{5}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using 'Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{6}$. The analysis indicates that signals are warranted at the intersection of Union Road and Culloden Line.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix D.

Table 24 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Union Road and Culloden Line after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization.

Table 24: Total Future (2046): Union Road and Culloden Line - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated Signalization)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} /$ veh $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 0.73 | D | 44.0 |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | WB approach | 0.64 | C | 34.1 |
|  | NB approach | 0.44 | A | 6.2 |
|  | SB approach | 0.77 | B | 13.1 |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization) total future conditions can be found in Appendix E.

To further accommodate the increased traffic volumes traveling to and from Union Road, it is recommended that auxiliary left-turn lanes, both northbound and southbound, be constructed on Culloden Line. The addition of the left-turn lanes not only improve traffic operations but also improve safety at the intersection by providing physical separation between the left turning vehicles that are slowing or stopped and the through traffic at the approach to the intersection.

Table 25 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Union Road and Culloden Line after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization and lane modifications were applied.

[^4]Table 25: Total Future (2046): Union Road and Culloden Line - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated Signalization + Lane Modification)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{veh})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 0.43 | B | 17.8 |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | WB approach | 0.38 | B | 17.1 |
|  | NB left | 0.10 | A | 4.8 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.43 | A | 6.8 |
|  | SB left | 0.37 | A | 7.3 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.53 | A | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ | A | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization + lane modifications) total future conditions can be found in Appendix F.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

### 7.2.3.5

Harris Street and Clarke Road
The intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road is a four legged, two-way stop controlled intersection, with stop control on Clarke Road. The west approach on Clarke Road provides a single shared turning/ through lane while the other three approaches have an auxiliary left turn lane and a through/ right turn lane. With the existing infrastructure and lane arrangements, the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, with both of the stop controlled approaches over capacity with a LOS F.

Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using ‘Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{7}$. The analysis indicates that signals are warranted at the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix D.

Table 26 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization.

[^5]Table 26: Total Future (2046): Harris Street and Clarke Road - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated Signalization)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB left | 0.13 | C | 21.7 |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | EB through/right | 0.37 | C | 23.9 |
|  | WB approach | 1.02 | F | 84.9 |
|  | NB left | 0.25 | B | 16.0 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.93 | D | 41.4 |
|  | SB left | 0.73 | C | 31.4 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.41 | B | 11.7 |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1}$ | D | $\mathbf{3 8 . 5}$ |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization) total future conditions can be found in Appendix $\mathbf{E}$.

To further accommodate the increased traffic volumes traveling to and from the proposed residential development east of Harris Street, it is recommended that an auxiliary westbound left-turn lane be constructed on Clarke Road and an auxiliary northbound right-turn lane be constructed on Harris Street. The addition of the auxiliary turn lanes not only improves traffic operations but also improve safety at the intersection by providing physical separation between the turning vehicles that are slowing or stopped and the through traffic at the approach to the intersection.

Table 27 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization and lane modifications were applied.

Table 27: Total Future (2046): Harris Street and Clarke Road - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated - Signalization + Lane Modification)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOSDelay <br> (s/veh) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB left | 0.17 | C | 22.5 |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | EB through/right | 0.55 | C | 25.9 |
|  | WB left | 0.61 | B | 19.9 |
|  | WB though/right | 0.20 | B | 15.3 |
|  | NB left | 0.29 | B | 16.0 |
|  | NB through | 0.68 | C | 21.7 |
|  | NB right | 0.19 | B | 13.9 |
|  | SB left | 0.46 | B | 11.5 |
|  | SB through/right | 0.46 | B | 11.6 |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 6 9}$ | B | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization+ lane modifications) total future conditions can be found in Appendix F.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

### 7.2.3.6 Plank Line and Curry Road

The intersection of Plank Line and Curry Road is a four legged, two-way stop controlled intersection, with stop control on Curry Road. All approaches provide a shared turning/through lane. With the existing infrastructure and lane arrangements, the intersection of Plank Line and Curry Road is expected to perform poorly under 2046 future total conditions, with the eastbound approach over capacity and both the eastbound and westbound approach operating at LOS F.

Based on the forecasted traffic volumes, it is recommended that the existing stop-controlled intersection be upgraded to a signalized intersection. The requirement for traffic signal control was assessed using 'Justification 7 - Projected Volumes' from the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) signal warrant guidelines ${ }^{8}$. The analysis indicates that signals are warranted at the intersection of Plank Line and Curry Road.

The signal warrant analysis worksheets can be found in Appendix D.

Table 28 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Plank Line and Curry Road after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization.

Table 28: Total Future (2046): Plank Line and Curry Road - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated - Signalization)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s/veh) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB approach | 1.15 | F | 136.9 |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ | WB approach | 0.05 | C | 28.7 |
| Curry Road | NB approach | 0.85 | B | 18.7 |
|  | SB approach | 0.83 | B | 16.6 |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization) total future conditions can be found in Appendix E.

To further accommodate the increased traffic volumes traveling to and from Curry Road, it is it is recommended that an auxiliary eastbound left-turn lane be constructed on Curry Road and an auxiliary southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Plank Line. The addition of the turn lanes not only improve traffic operations but also improve safety at the intersection by providing physical separation between the turning vehicles that are slowing or stopped and the through traffic at the approach to the intersection.

[^6]Table 29 displays the results of the LOS and capacity analysis for the total future conditions at the intersection of Plank Line and Curry Road after the traffic control mechanism was upgraded to signalization and lane modifications were applied.

Table 29: Total Future (2046): Plank Line and Curry Road - PM Peak Hour (Mitigated - Signalization + Lane Modification)

| Intersection | Movement | v/c | LOS | Delay <br> $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{veh})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB left | 0.70 | C | 26.5 |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ | EB through/right | 0.09 | B | 17.4 |
| Curry Road | WB approach | 0.04 | B | 17.1 |
|  | NB left | 0.31 | A | 9.0 |
|  | NB through/right | 0.75 | B | 13.1 |
|  | SB through/left | 0.72 | B | 12.4 |
|  | SB right | 0.15 | A | 5.5 |
|  | Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3}$ | B | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6}$ |

The detailed Synchro operations reports for the mitigated (signalization + lane modifications) total future conditions can be found in Appendix F.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

### 7.2.3.7 <br> Additional Recommendations

The intersection of Union Road and Curry Road is a skewed three legged, two-way stop controlled intersection, with stop control on Curry Road. All approaches have a single shared through/turn lane. With the existing configuration in place, the intersection of Union Road and Curry Road is expected to perform sufficiently under 2046 future total conditions, will the stop controlled approach operating at a LOS A. However, under 2046 future total conditions that main traffic movements are between Union Road west of the intersection and Curry Road. As a result, relocating the stop sign from the approach on Curry Road to the westbound approach on Union Road will reduce unnecessary delays for the highest volume movements and will maintain the LOS A on the stop controlled approach.

Alternatively, the westbound approach on Union Road could be dead ended. This modification would serve the same purpose as relocating the stop sign but would have the added benefit of eliminating all turning movements form the intersection, thus improving safely, and reducing cut-through traffic on Union Road east of Curry Road.

Railway Crossing Exposure Index
Railway crossing infrastructure was re-assessed under 2046 total future conditions. Dillon estimated the ADT volumes by assuming that the PM peak hour traffic volumes will account for $10 \%$ of the daily traffic
volumes ${ }^{9}$. The volume of trains per day has not been increased from the 2022 volumes provided by Ontario Southland Rail line. As a result, the 2046 exposure indices presented in Table 30, reflect a bestcase scenario.

Table 30: Total Future (2046): Railway Crossing Type Review

| Railway Crossing <br> Location | Existing <br> Crossing Type | Trains <br> per Day | ADT | Exposure <br> Index | Recommended <br> Crossing Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curry Road | Passive Crossing | 4 | 6,880 | 27,520 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |
| King Street West | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and <br> Bells) | 6 | 6,470 | 38,820 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |
| Ingersoll Street | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and <br> Bells) | 6 | 11,260 | 67,560 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights, bells, and <br> gate) |
| Thomas Road west <br> of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 4 | 200 | 800 | Passive Crossing |
| Thomas Road east <br> of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 8 | 3,950 | 31,600 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |

Acknowledging that fewer trains than usual were operating when existing conditions were collected (due to the General Motors CAMI Assembly plant shut down) and to capture the potential growth in rail operations, a railway crossing sensitivity test was conducted. The existing number of trains per day was increased by 50\%. Table $\mathbf{3 1}$ displays the results of the railway crossing sensitivity test.

Table 31: Total Future (2046): Railway Crossing Type Sensitivity Test

| Railway Crossing <br> Location | Existing <br> Crossing Type | Trains <br> per Day | ADT | Exposure <br> Index | Recommended <br> Crossing Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curry Road | Passive Crossing | 6 | 6,880 | 41,280 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |
| King Street West | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and <br> Bells) | 9 | 6,470 | 58,230 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights bells and <br> gate) |
| Ingersoll Street | Active Crossing <br> (Flashing Lights and <br> Bells) | 9 | 11,260 | 101,340 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights bells and <br> gate) |
| Thomas Road west <br> of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 6 | 200 | 1,200 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |
| Thomas Road east <br> of Wallace Line | Passive Crossing | 12 | 3,950 | 47,400 | Active Crossing <br> (flashing lights and bells) |

${ }^{9} 10 \%$ is a conservative estimate based on size, urban form and physical location of Ingersoll.

Based on the Exposure Indices displayed in Table $\mathbf{3 0}$ and Table 31, it is recommended that all existing railway crossings are upgraded. The crossings located on Curry Road and Thomas Road (both east and west of Wallace line) are currently passive crossings. Based on the estimated exposure indices, they should be upgraded to active crossings with flashing lights and bells. The crossings on Ingersoll Street and King Street West are currently active crossings with flashing lights and bells. Based on the estimated exposure indices, they be upgraded to active crossings with flashing lights, bells and gates.

Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

### 8.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

This section summarizes the findings of this transportation report and highlights Dillon's recommendations for an efficient and safe future road network.

A summary of the LOS analysis in each scenario is provided in Table 32.

Table 32: Overall Intersection Levels of Service - PM Peak Hour

| Intersection | Existing | 2046 FB | 2046 TF <br> Unmitigated | $2046 \text { TF }$ <br> Mitigated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS |  |  |  |
| Culloden Road/Line @ Ingersoll Street/Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | A | B | B |
| Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | A | B | B |
| Wallace Line @ Thomas Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Wallace Line @ Robinson Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ King Street W | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | B |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thomas Street | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | A |
| Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ |
| Ingersoll Street @ Thompson Road | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ |
| Union Road @ Culloden Line | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | A |
| Union Road @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{+}$ |
| Harris Street @ Clarke Road | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | B |
| Harris Street/County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps | A | A | B | B |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps | A | A | A | A |
| Plank Line/Highway 19 @ Curry Road | $\mathrm{C}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | B |

Notes: +Unsignalized Intersection - Critical Movement

Based on the transportation assessment that was carried out, it is concluded that:

- Existing Conditions: All study area intersections are currently operating at acceptable levels of service.
- Future Background Conditions: All study area intersections are forecast to operate at acceptable levels of service and $v / c$ ratios under 2046 future background conditions, except for the following movement:
- Harris Street and Clarke Road - Eastbound Left.
- The eastbound left is projected to have a level of service F during the PM peak hour under future background conditions. However, no mitigation was proposed as the
movements delay ( 52.1 seconds) only exceed the threshold for becoming critical by 2.1 seconds and the movement has a low $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.35 .
- Development Trip Generation: The South West Ingersoll Secondary Plan area is forecast to generate 3,379 trips during the PM peak hour. 703 trips will be generated by residential development, 1,278 trips will be generated by industrial development, and 522 trips will be generated by commercial development.
- Total Future Conditions: The following roadway network improvement will be required:
- Residential collector road between Clarke Road and 450 m south of Clarke Road - new road;
- Union Road west of Culloden Line - upgrade from local to collector;
- Union Road between Culloden Line and Curry Road - upgrade from local to collector;
- Curry Road between Union Road and Plank Line - upgrade from local to collector;
- Wallace Line / Thompson Road between Thomas Road and Ingersoll Street - upgrade from local to collector; and
- Clarke Road between Plank Line and 725m east of Plank Line - upgrade from rural to urban residential cross section.

The following intersections are expected to operate at acceptable levels of service and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios under 2046 total future conditions and do not require any mitigation strategies:

Culloden Road / Line @ Ingersoll Street / Highway 401 WB Ramps;
Culloden Line @ Highway 401 EB Ramps;
Wallace Line @ Thomas Road;
Wallace Line @ Robinson Road;
Ingersoll Street @ Clarke Road;
Union Road @ Curry Road;
Harris Street / County Road 119 @ Highway 401 WB Ramps; and
Plank Line / Highway 19 @ Highway 401 EB Ramps.

The remaining intersections will require intervention to operate at acceptable levels of service and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios under 2046 total future conditions.

- Ingersoll Street \& King Street West.
- Signals are warranted at this intersection. However, signalization alone does not improve the intersections operations to an acceptable level. It is recommended that the existing northbound shared through/left turn lane should be converted to a designated left turn lane, and the existing right turn lane should become a shared through/right turn lane. With signalization and lane reconfiguration, the intersection of Ingersoll Street and King Street West is expected to operate at an acceptable level (overall LOS B and a v/c ratio of 0.57).
- Ingersoll Street \& Thomas Street.
- Signals are warranted at this intersection. Signalization improves the intersection operations to an acceptable level (overall LOS A and a v/c ratio of 0.44 ).
- Ingersoll Street \& Thompson Road.
- Signals are not warranted at this intersection. To improve operations without signalization it is recommended that the eastbound approach be reconfigured to provide a principal right turn lane and an auxiliary left-turn lane. The eastbound right-turn lane will operate at a LOS C, and the eastbound left turn will continue to operate at a LOS F, however the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio would be reduced from 1.13 to 0.42 .
- Union Road \& Culloden Line.
- Signals are warranted at this intersection. However, signalization alone does not improve the intersections operations to an acceptable level. It is recommended that auxiliary leftturn lanes, both northbound and southbound, be constructed on Culloden Line. With signalization and the addition of the auxiliary turning lanes, the intersection of Union Road and Culloden Line is expected to operate at an acceptable level (overall LOS A and a v/c ratio of 0.50).
- Harris Street \& Clarke Road.
- Signals are warranted at this intersection. However, signalization alone does not improve the intersections operations to an acceptable level. It is recommended that an auxiliary westbound left-turn lane be constructed on Clarke Road and an auxiliary northbound right-turn lane be constructed on Harris Street. With signalization and the addition of the auxiliary turning lanes, the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road is expected to operate at an acceptable level (overall LOS B and a v/c ratio of 0.69).
- Plank Line \& Curry Road.
- Signals are warranted at this intersection. However, signalization alone does not improve the intersections operations to an acceptable level. It is recommended that an auxiliary eastbound left-turn lane be constructed on Curry Road and an auxiliary southbound right-turn lane be constructed on Plank Line. With signalization and the addition of the auxiliary turning lanes, the intersection of Harris Street and Clarke Road is expected to operate at an acceptable level (overall LOS B and a v/c ratio of 0.73 ).

The following rail network improvement will be required:

- Railway spur line off the CP rail line between Curry Road and Highway 401 - new rail spur.

The following railway crossing upgrades will be required:

- Curry Road - upgrade from passive crossing to active crossings with flashing lights and bells; King Street West - upgrade from active crossings with lights and bells to active crossings with flashing lights, bells and gates;
- Ingersoll Street - upgrade from active crossings with lights and bells to active crossings with flashing lights, bells and gates;
- Thomas Road (west of Wallace line) - upgrade from passive crossing to active crossings with flashing lights and bells; and
- Thomas Road (east of Wallace line) - upgrade from passive crossing to active crossings with flashing lights and bells.


## Appendix A

## Operations Reports: Existing Conditions

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
240: Culloden Line/Culloden Road \& Ingersoll Street S/Highway 401 WB Ramps
04/27/2023

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{4} 1$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 35 | 120 | 190 | 45 | 70 | 85 | 75 | 165 | 30 | 135 | 215 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 35 | 120 | 190 | 45 | 70 | 85 | 75 | 165 | 30 | 135 | 215 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 7.2 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1626 | 1727 | 1392 | 1492 | 2977 |  | 2918 | 1840 |  | 1805 | 1824 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 0.60 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1105 | 1727 | 1392 | 1058 | 2977 |  | 1855 | 1840 |  | 1188 | 1824 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 38 | 130 | 207 | 49 | 76 | 92 | 82 | 179 | 33 | 147 | 234 | 16 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 38 | 130 | 207 | 49 | 93 | 0 | 82 | 202 | 0 | 147 | 246 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 11\% | 10\% | 16\% | 21\% | 25\% | 0\% | 20\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 20\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 8.2 | 8.2 | 43.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 |  | 20.8 | 20.8 |  | 20.8 | 20.8 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 8.2 | 8.2 | 43.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 |  | 20.8 | 20.8 |  | 20.8 | 20.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.48 | 0.48 |  | 0.48 | 0.48 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 7.2 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.2 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 207 | 324 | 1392 | 198 | 559 |  | 884 | 877 |  | 566 | 870 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.08 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | c0.13 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 |  | 0.15 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.17 |  | 0.09 | 0.23 |  | 0.26 | 0.28 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 14.8 |  | 6.2 | 6.7 |  | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 1.1 | 0.8 |  |
| Delay (s) | 15.4 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 15.8 | 15.0 |  | 6.3 | 6.9 |  | 7.9 | 7.7 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B | A | B | B |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.4 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 8.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.32 |  | 14.6 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 43.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | C |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $65.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

Analysis Period (min)
15
c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 145 | 40 | 35 | 120 | 210 | 235 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 145 | 40 | 35 | 120 | 210 | 235 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.1 |  | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.96 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3208 |  | 1337 | 3471 | 1792 | 1417 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.96 |  | 0.62 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3208 |  | 867 | 3471 | 1792 | 1417 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 158 | 43 | 38 | 130 | 228 | 255 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 170 | 0 | 38 | 130 | 228 | 255 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 35\% | 4\% | 6\% | 14\% |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | NA | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.2 |  | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 36.0 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 10.2 |  | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 36.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.28 |  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.1 |  | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 908 |  | 315 | 1263 | 652 | 1417 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.05 |  |  | 0.04 | c0.13 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | c0.18 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.19 |  | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.18 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 9.8 |  | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Delay (s) | 9.9 |  | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.7 | 0.3 |
| Level of Service | A |  | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.9 |  |  | 7.7 | 4.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | A |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 6.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.32 |  | 12.7 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 36.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $43.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\hat{1}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 40 | 95 | 20 | 15 | 105 | 135 | 30 | 190 | 35 | 115 | 130 | 25 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 95 | 20 | 15 | 105 | 135 | 30 | 190 | 35 | 115 | 130 | 25 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 43 | 103 | 22 | 16 | 114 | 147 | 33 | 207 | 38 | 125 | 141 | 27 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 168 | 277 | 240 | 38 | 125 | 168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 43 | 16 | 33 | 0 | 125 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 22 | 147 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.08 | -0.30 | 0.14 | -0.70 | 0.50 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.1 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 528 | 600 | 516 | 591 | 492 | 532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.6 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.6 | 12.6 | 12.4 |  | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51.1\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | * 1 |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 15 | 195 | 20 | 30 | 145 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 15 | 10 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 40 | 15 | 195 | 20 | 30 | 145 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 16 | 11 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 43 | 16 | 212 | 22 | 33 | 158 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 421 | 498 | 87 | 432 | 495 | 117 | 174 |  |  | 234 |  |  |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 421 | 498 | 87 | 432 | 495 | 117 | 174 |  |  | 234 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.6 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.1 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 |  |  | 2.3 |  |  |
| po queue free \% | 96 | 98 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 99 |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 454 | 458 | 858 | 409 | 445 | 913 | 1112 |  |  | 1274 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 54 | 75 | 122 | 128 | 112 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 27 | 43 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 595 | 614 | 1112 | 1700 | 1274 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.4 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.7 | 11.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.7 | 11.7 | 0.6 |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | - | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 150 | 5 | 5 | 250 | 0 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 150 | 5 | 5 | 250 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 163 | 5 | 5 | 272 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 452 | 450 | 272 | 448 | 448 | 166 | 272 |  |  | 168 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 452 | 450 | 272 | 448 | 448 | 166 | 272 |  |  | 168 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 516 | 506 | 772 | 523 | 507 | 884 | 1303 |  |  | 1422 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 0 | 10 | 168 | 277 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 658 | 1303 | 1422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.2\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## „EASTBOUND - EXISTING, EB <br> »WESTBOUND - EXISTING, WB

## Summary of junction performance

|  | EB |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | EASTBOUND - EXISTING |  |  |  |  |
| 401EB - 401 EB - NB - SOUTH LEG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AEB } \\ & \text { DEBEX } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 | 3.86 | 0.32 | A |
| 401EB - 401 EB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 0.6 | 4.54 | 0.38 | A |
| 401EB - 401 EB - EB - WEST LEG |  | 0.1 | 4.11 | 0.11 | A |


|  | WB |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | WESTBOUND - EXISTING |  |  |  |  |
| 401WB - 401 WB - NB - SOUTH LEG | AWB DWBEX | 0.7 | 4.72 | 0.39 | A |
| 401WB - 401 WB - WB - EAST LEG |  | 0.3 | 4.64 | 0.21 | A |
| 401WB - 401 WB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 0.4 | 4.01 | 0.27 | A |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
Units

| Distance <br> units | Speed <br> units | Traffic units <br> input | Traffic units <br> results | Flow <br> units | Average delay <br> units | Total delay <br> units | Rate of delay <br> units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | $-\operatorname{Min}$ | perMin |



Fiows show original tratic demand (PCU/hr).
The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed <br> queueing delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBEX | EXISTING | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| DWBEX | EXISTING | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## EASTBOUND - EXISTING, EB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEB | EASTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DEBEX, DEBFB, <br> DEBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB | 401 EB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 4.16 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
| 401EB - 401 EB | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter <br> (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB-401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 18.4 | 55.0 | 46.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 10.6 | 44.0 | 46.0 | 23.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 4.75 | 4.80 | 1.0 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |

## Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction | Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.683 | 1561 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.612 | 1325 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.631 | 1352 |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBEX | EXISTING | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 538 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 469 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 279 | 100.000 |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 538 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 469 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 279 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 137 | 401 | 0 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 359 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 211 | 0 | 68 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.32 | 3.86 | 0.5 | A | 538 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  | 422 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.38 | 4.54 | 0.6 | A | 469 | 469 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.11 | 4.11 | 0.1 | A | 279 | 100 |

## WESTBOUND - EXISTING, WB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWB | WESTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DWBEX, DWBFB, <br> DWBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB | 401 WB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 4.48 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
|  | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 8.4 | 41.0 | 46.0 | 22.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 4.75 | 4.75 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 16.4 | 51.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction Arm Final slope Final intercept (PCU/hr) <br> 401WB - 401 WB NB - SOUTH LEG 0.607 1302 <br>  WB - EAST LEG 0.627 1337 <br>  SB - NORTH LEG 0.675 1544 <br>  EB - WEST LEG   |
| :--- |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario <br> name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DWBEX | EXISTING | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 469 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 338 | 100.000 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 347 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 469 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 338 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 347 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401WB-401 WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0 | 0 | 235 | 234 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 173 | 0 | 165 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401WB - 401
WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.39 | 4.72 | 0.7 | A | 469 | 469 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0.21 | 4.64 | 0.3 | A | 338 | 198 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.27 | 4.01 | 0.4 | A | 347 | 304 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix B

## Operations Reports: Future Background Conditions




| Intersection Summary |  |  | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 8.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | 12.7 |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.33 |  | C |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 53.9 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $64.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 50 | 125 | 25 | 20 | 130 | 170 | 40 | 240 | 45 | 145 | 165 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 50 | 125 | 25 | 20 | 130 | 170 | 40 | 240 | 45 | 145 | 165 | 35 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 50 | 125 | 25 | 20 | 130 | 170 | 40 | 240 | 45 | 145 | 165 | 35 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 200 | 320 | 280 | 45 | 145 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 50 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 145 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 25 | 170 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.08 | -0.30 | 0.14 | -0.70 | 0.50 | -0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 6.8 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 470 | 550 | 478 | 541 | 453 | 488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 13.8 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 8.5 | 12.3 | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 13.8 | 16.1 | 15.9 |  | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C | C |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 61.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## „EASTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND, EB »WESTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND, WB

## Summary of junction performance

|  | EB |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | EASTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND |  |  |  |  |
| 401EB - 401 EB - NB - SOUTH LEG | $\begin{gathered} \text { AEB } \\ \text { DEBFB } \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 | 4.61 | 0.42 | A |
| 401EB - 401 EB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 1.0 | 5.46 | 0.49 | A |
| 401EB - 401 EB - EB - WEST LEG |  | 0.2 | 4.70 | 0.15 | A |


|  | WB |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | WESTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND |  |  |  |  |
| 401WB - 401 WB - NB - SOUTH LEG | AWB DWBFB | 1.0 | 5.70 | 0.50 | A |
| 401WB - 401 WB - WB - EAST LEG |  | 0.4 | 5.65 | 0.29 | A |
| 401WB - 401 WB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 0.6 | 4.91 | 0.36 | A |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
Units

| Distance <br> units | Speed <br> units | Traffic units <br> input | Traffic units <br> results | Flow <br> units | Average delay <br> units | Total delay <br> units | Rate of delay <br> units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | $-\operatorname{Min}$ | perMin |



Fiows show original tratic demand (PCU/hr).
The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed <br> queueing delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic <br> profile type | Start time <br> $(\mathbf{H H : m m})$ | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment <br> length (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBFB | FUTURE BACKGROUND | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| DWBFB | FUTURE BACKGROUND | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## EASTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND, EB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEB | EASTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DEBEX, DEBFB, <br> DEBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB | 401 EB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 4.94 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
|  | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter <br> (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB-401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 18.4 | 55.0 | 46.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 10.6 | 44.0 | 46.0 | 23.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 4.75 | 4.80 | 1.0 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |

## Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction | Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.683 | 1561 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.612 | 1325 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.631 | 1352 |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic <br> profile type | Start time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Finish time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Time segment <br> length (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBFB | FUTURE BACKGROUND | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 683 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 596 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 354 | 100.000 |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 683 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 596 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 354 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0 | 174 | 509 | 0 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 456 | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 268 | 140 | 0 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.42 | 4.61 | 0.7 | A | 683 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  | 535 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.49 | 5.46 | 1.0 | A | 596 | 596 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.15 | 4.70 | 0.2 | $A$ | 354 | 126 |

## WESTBOUND - FUTURE BACKGROUND, WB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWB | WESTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DWBEX, DWBFB, <br> DWBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB | 401 WB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 5.45 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
|  | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V - Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 8.4 | 41.0 | 46.0 | 22.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 4.75 | 4.75 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 16.4 | 51.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction Arm Final slope Final intercept (PCU/hr) <br> 401WB - 401 WB NB - SOUTH LEG 0.607 1302 <br>  WB - EAST LEG 0.627 1337 <br>  SB - NORTH LEG 0.675 1544 <br>  EB - WEST LEG   |
| :--- |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic <br> profile type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment <br> length (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DWBFB | FUTURE BACKGROUND | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 595 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 430 | 100.000 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 441 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 595 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 430 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 441 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401WB-401
WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0 | 0 | 298 | 297 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 370 | 0 | 210 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401WB - 401
WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.50 | 5.70 | 1.0 | A | 595 | 595 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0.29 | 5.65 | 0.4 | A | 430 | 252 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.36 | 4.91 | 0.6 | A | 441 | 386 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix C

## Operations Reports: Total Future Conditions Unmitigated



| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 280 | 130 | 95 | 555 | 635 | 445 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 280 | 130 | 95 | 555 | 635 | 445 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.1 |  | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.97 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3253 |  | 1556 | 3574 | 1863 | 1482 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.97 |  | 0.22 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3253 |  | 368 | 3574 | 1863 | 1482 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 280 | 130 | 95 | 555 | 635 | 445 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 326 | 0 | 95 | 555 | 635 | 445 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5\% | 3\% | 16\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | NA | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | Free |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 20.1 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 56.8 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 20.1 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 56.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.35 |  | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 1.00 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.1 |  | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 1151 |  | 155 | 1510 | 787 | 1482 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 0.10 |  |  | 0.16 | c0.34 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | c0.30 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.28 |  | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.81 | 0.30 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 13.2 |  | 12.8 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 0.0 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 |  | 7.3 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 0.5 |
| Delay (s) | 13.3 |  | 20.1 | 11.4 | 20.6 | 0.5 |
| Level of Service | B |  | C | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 13.3 |  |  | 12.7 | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 12.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.62 |  | 12.7 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 56.8 | Sum of lost time (s) | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $82.8 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 90 | 125 | 140 | 20 | 135 | 170 | 210 | 390 | 45 | 145 | 320 | 95 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 90 | 125 | 140 | 20 | 135 | 170 | 210 | 390 | 45 | 145 | 320 | 95 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 90 | 125 | 140 | 20 | 135 | 170 | 210 | 390 | 45 | 145 | 320 | 95 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 355 | 325 | 600 | 45 | 145 | 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 90 | 20 | 210 | 0 | 145 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 140 | 170 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.12 | -0.29 | 0.21 | -0.70 | 0.50 | -0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 9.5 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.87 | 0.80 | 1.54 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 394 | 397 | 402 | 425 | 374 | 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 48.3 | 38.8 | 279.9 | 11.1 | 17.1 | 81.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 48.3 | 38.8 | 261.1 |  | 64.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E | E | F |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 124.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 106.8\% |  | ICU Level | Service |  |  | G |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## "EASTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE, EB „WESTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE, WB

## Summary of junction performance

|  | EB |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | EASTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE |  |  |  |  |
| 401EB - 401 EB - NB - SOUTH LEG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AEB } \\ & \text { DEBTF } \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 | 8.80 | 0.68 | A |
| 401EB - 401 EB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 3.2 | 11.67 | 0.77 | B |
| 401EB - 401 EB - EB - WEST LEG |  | 0.4 | 7.47 | 0.30 | A |


|  | WB |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Set ID | Queue (PCU) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |
|  | WESTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U01WB - 401 WB - NB - SOUTH LEG |  | 3.3 | 12.01 | 0.77 | B |
| 401WB - 401 WB - WB - EAST LEG | AWB | DWBTF | 1.4 | 12.52 | 0.59 | B |
| 401WB - 401 WB - SB - NORTH LEG |  | 1.7 | 9.28 | 0.63 | A |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
Units

| Distance <br> units | Speed <br> units | Traffic units <br> input | Traffic units <br> results | Flow <br> units | Average delay <br> units | Total delay <br> units | Rate of delay <br> units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | $-\operatorname{Min}$ | perMin |



Fiows show original tratic demand (PCU/hr).
The junction diagram reflects the last run of Junctions.

## Analysis Options

| Vehicle <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | Calculate Queue <br> Percentiles | Calculate detailed <br> queueing delay | Calculate residual <br> capacity | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay <br> threshold (s) | Queue threshold <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBTF | TOTAL FUTURE | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |
| DWBTF | TOTAL FUTURE | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |

## EASTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE, EB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEB | EASTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DEBEX, DEBFB, <br> DEBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB | 401 EB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 9.65 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
| 401EB - 401 EB | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter <br> (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB-401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 18.4 | 55.0 | 46.0 | 8.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 10.6 | 44.0 | 46.0 | 23.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 4.75 | 4.80 | 1.0 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |

## Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction | Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.683 | 1561 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.612 | 1325 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.631 | 1352 |

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEBTF | TOTAL FUTURE | EB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 1067 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 939 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 450 | 100.000 |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401EB - 401 EB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 1067 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 939 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 450 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 293 | 774 | 0 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 741 | 198 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 301 | 0 | 149 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401EB - 401
EB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0.68 | 8.80 | 2.1 | A | 1067 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  |  |  |  | 818 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.77 | 11.67 | 3.2 | B | 939 | 939 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | 0.30 | 7.47 | 0.4 | A | 450 | 194 |

## WESTBOUND - TOTAL FUTURE, WB

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| ID | Name | Include in <br> report | Use specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Specific Demand <br> Set(s) | Network flow scaling <br> factor (\%) | Network capacity scaling <br> factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AWB | WESTBOUND | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | DWBEX, DWBFB, <br> DWBTF | 100.000 | 100.000 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction type | Use circulating lanes | Arm order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB | 401 WB | Standard Roundabout |  | NB, WB, SB, EB | 11.31 | B |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Junction | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB | SOUTH LEG |  |
|  | WB | EAST LEG |  |
|  | SB | NORTH LEG |  |
|  | EB | WEST LEG |  |

Roundabout Geometry

| Junction | Arm | V-Approach road half-width (m) | E-Entry width (m) | I' - Effective flare length (m) | R-Entry radius (m) | D - Inscribed circle diameter (m) | PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg) | Exit only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 3.75 | 5.50 | 8.4 | 41.0 | 46.0 | 22.0 |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 4.75 | 4.75 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 5.10 | 5.50 | 16.4 | 51.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

Bypass

| Junction | Arm | Arm has bypass | Bypass utilisation (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | $\checkmark$ | 85 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Arm Intercept Adjustments

| Junction | Arm | Type | Reason | Percentage intercept adjustment (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | Percentage |  | 85.00 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Junction Arm Final slope Final intercept (PCU/hr) <br> 401WB - 401 WB NB - SOUTH LEG 0.607 1302 <br>  WB - EAST LEG 0.627 1337 <br>  SB - NORTH LEG 0.675 1544 <br>  EB - WEST LEG   |
| :--- |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period <br> name | Traffic profile <br> type | Start time <br> (HH:mm) | Finish time <br> (HH:mm) | Time segment length <br> (min) | Run <br> automatically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DWBTF | TOTAL FUTURE | WB | PHF | $08: 00$ | $09: 00$ | 15 | $\checkmark$ |


| Vehicle mix varies over turn | Vehicle mix varies over entry | Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Linked arm | Profile type | Use O-D data | Average Demand (PCU/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 923 | 100.000 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 649 | 100.000 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG |  | PHF | $\checkmark$ | 701 | 100.000 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |  |  |

## Peak Hour Factor Data (Traffic)

| Junction | Arm | Hourly volume (PCU/hr) | Peak hour factor | Peak time segment |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401WB - 401 WB | NB - SOUTH LEG | 923 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 649 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 701 | 0.92 | SecondQuarter |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  |  |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

401WB - 401 WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0 | 0 | 593 | 330 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 541 | 0 | 308 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

401WB - 401
WB

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | NB - SOUTH <br> LEG |  |  |  |  |
|  | WB - EAST <br> LEG | SB - NORTH <br> LEG | EB - WEST <br> LEG |  |  |
|  | NB - SOUTH LEG | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only | Exit-only |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Junction | Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue <br> (PCU) | Max LOS | Average <br> Demand <br> (PCU/hr) | Total Junction <br> Arrivals (PCU) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WB - EAST LEG | 0.59 | 12.52 | 12.01 | 3.3 | B | 923 |
|  | SB - NORTH LEG | 0.63 | 9.28 | 1.4 | B | 649 | 387 |
|  | EB - WEST LEG |  |  | 1.7 | A | 701 | 614 |

## Appendix D

## OTM Signal Warrants

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Total

Main street direction Main street cross-section Roadway environment
"T" intersection?

North/South
2+ lanes
Free flow
No

| Time Period | Major Street |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ingersoll Street |  |  |  |  |  | Thomas Road |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through |
| PM Peak | 125 | 480 | 25 | 35 | 340 | 125 | 105 | 15 | 95 | 20 | 20 |
| Average Hourly Volume | 63 | 240 | 13 | 18 | 170 | 63 | 53 | 8 | 48 | 10 | 10 |

Justification 7 - OTM Book 12

Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| $1 \mathbf{1 A}$ | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |
| $\mathbf{1 B}$ | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 718 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $120 \%$ |

Warrant 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic

| 2A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 565 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 94\% |
| 2B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 80 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 67\% |

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Total

| Main street direction | North/South | Major Street | Plank Line |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main street cross-section | 1 lane | Minor Street | Curry Road |
| Roadway environment | Free flow |  |  |
| "T" intersection? | No |  |  |


| Time Period | Major Street |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plank Line |  |  |  |  |  | Curry Road |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through |
| PM Peak | 80 | 815 | 0 | 5 | 790 | 245 | 240 | 10 | 105 | 5 | 10 |
| Average Hourly Volume | 40 | 408 | 0 | 3 | 395 | 123 | 120 | 5 | 53 | 3 | 5 |

Justification 7 - OTM Book 12
Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 1A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1158 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $241 \%$ |


| 1B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 190 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 158\% |


| 2A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 968 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $202 \%$ |


| 2B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 120 | 170 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 133 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $265 \%$ |

Signal Warranted

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Total

| Main street direction Main street cross-section Roadway environment " T " intersection? | North/South <br> 1 lane <br> Free flow <br> Yes |  |  | Major Street <br> Minor Street |  | Ingersoll Street Thompson Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Street |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ingersoll Street |  |  |  |  |  | Thompson Road |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Time Period | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| PM Peak | 250 | 475 |  |  | 560 | 15 | 45 |  | 340 |  |  |  |
| Average Hourly Volume | 125 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Justification 7 - OTM Book 12

## Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 1A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 843 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 176\% |
| 1B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 193 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 180 | 255 | 180 | 255 | \% Satisfied | 107\% |


| Warrant 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 650 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 135\% |
| 2B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 2 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 45\% |

## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Tota

| Main street direction | North/South | Major Street | Culloden Line |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main street cross-section | 1 lane | Minor Street | Union Road |
| Roadway environment | Free flow |  |  |
| "T" intersection? | No |  |  |


| Time Period | Major Street |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Culloden Line |  |  |  |  |  | Union Road |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| PM Peak | 40 | 390 | 75 | 190 | 490 | 85 | 85 | 15 | 40 | 75 | 15 | 180 |
| Average Hourly Volume | 20 | 195 | 38 | 95 | 245 | 43 | 43 | 8 | 20 | 38 | 8 | 90 |

## Justification 7 - OTM Book 12

## Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 1A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 840 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 175\% |
| 1B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 205 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 171\% |


| Warrant 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 635 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 132\% |
| 2B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 95 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 190\% |

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Total


Justification 7 - OTM Book 12
Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 1A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 106 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 | \% Satisfied | 178\% |


| 1B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2+ |  | Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted | 325 |  |
|  | All Approaches | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 | \% Satisfied | 271\% |

Warrant 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic

| 2A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 743 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $124 \%$ |


| 2B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 120 | 170 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 215 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $179 \%$ |

Signal Warranted

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis
MTO Method (Ontario Traffic Manual, Book 12)
2046 Future Total

| Main street direction | North/South | Major Street | Ingersoll Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Main street cross-section | 2+ lanes | Minor Street | King St W |
| Roadway environment | Free flow |  |  |

T" intersection? No
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Major Street } & \text { Ingersoll Street } \\ \text { Minor Street } & \text { King St W }\end{array}$

| Time Period | Major Street |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ingersoll Street |  |  |  |  |  | King St W |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
|  | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| PM Peak | 210 | 390 | 45 | 145 | 320 | 95 | 90 | 125 | 140 | 20 | 135 | 170 |
| Average Hourly Volume | 105 | 195 | 23 | 73 | 160 | 48 | 45 | 63 | 70 | 10 | 68 | 85 |

Justification 7 - OTM Book 12

## Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 1A | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 943 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $157 \%$ |


| 1B | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 120 | 170 | 120 | 170 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 340 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $283 \%$ |

Warrant 2 - Delay to Cross Traffic

| 24 | Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
|  | All Approaches | 480 | 720 | 600 | 900 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 603 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $100 \%$ |

2B

| Approach Lanes | 1 |  | $2+$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free | Restricted |
| All Approaches | 50 | 75 | 50 | 75 |


| Average Hourly Volume |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 185 |  |
| \% Satisfied | $370 \%$ |

## Appendix E

## Operations Reports: Total Future Conditions Mitigated (Signalization)





| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | * | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.93 |  |  | 0.96 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1752 | 1732 |  |  | 1762 |  | 1719 | 1781 |  | 1805 | 1835 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.53 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.60 |  | 0.51 | 1.00 |  | 0.09 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 978 | 1732 |  |  | 1077 |  | 918 | 1781 |  | 164 | 1835 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 40 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 0 | 105 | 771 | 0 | 145 | 435 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 8\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  | 29.0 |  | 41.8 | 41.8 |  | 52.0 | 52.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  | 29.0 |  | 41.8 | 41.8 |  | 52.0 | 52.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.32 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 |  | 0.58 | 0.58 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 315 | 558 |  |  | 347 |  | 426 | 827 |  | 198 | 1060 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  | c0.43 |  | c0.05 | 0.24 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.04 |  |  |  | c0.33 |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.37 |  |  | 1.02 |  | 0.25 | 0.93 |  | 0.73 | 0.41 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 21.6 | 23.5 |  |  | 30.5 |  | 14.6 | 22.8 |  | 18.3 | 10.5 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  | 1.4 | 18.6 |  | 13.1 | 1.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 21.7 | 23.9 |  |  | 84.9 |  | 16.0 | 41.4 |  | 31.4 | 11.7 |  |
| Level of Service | C | C |  |  | F |  | B | D |  | C | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 23.6 |  |  | 84.9 |  |  | 38.4 |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 38.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.01 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | G |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $101.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |



## Appendix F

## Operations Reports: Total Future Conditions Mitigated (Signalization + Lane Modifications)




| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | * | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1752 | 1732 |  | 1805 | 1696 |  | 1719 | 1881 | 1615 | 1805 | 1835 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.64 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.51 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1188 | 1732 |  | 609 | 1696 |  | 918 | 1881 | 1615 | 451 | 1835 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 40 | 120 | 120 | 190 | 80 | 100 | 105 | 495 | 300 | 145 | 390 | 50 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 40 | 184 | 0 | 190 | 115 | 0 | 105 | 495 | 116 | 145 | 434 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 5\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 8\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 22.7 | 22.7 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 12.7 | 12.7 |  | 22.7 | 22.7 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 229 | 334 |  | 310 | 585 |  | 356 | 730 | 626 | 315 | 949 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.11 |  | c0.05 | 0.07 |  |  | c0.26 |  | 0.03 | c0.24 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 |  |  | c0.16 |  |  | 0.11 |  | 0.07 | 0.21 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.55 |  | 0.61 | 0.20 |  | 0.29 | 0.68 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.46 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 22.1 | 23.9 |  | 16.3 | 15.1 |  | 13.9 | 16.7 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 10.0 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 2.0 |  | 3.6 | 0.2 |  | 2.1 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 |  |
| Delay (s) | 22.5 | 25.9 |  | 19.9 | 15.3 |  | 16.0 | 21.7 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 11.6 |  |
| Level of Service | C | C |  | B | B |  | B | C | B | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 25.4 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 18.4 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 17.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.69 |  | 18.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 65.7 | Sum of lost time (s) | D |

Analysis Period (min)
15
C Critical Lane Group


## Appendix G

## Operations Reports: Total Future Conditions Mitigated (Lane Modifications)



## Appendix H

## Capital Cost Estimates

South-West Ingersoll Secondary Plan - Estimate of Capital Costs - Transportation

|  | Location | Proposed Infrastructure | Assumptions |  | Estimate (incl. \% contingency) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Roads | South of Clarke Road | New residential collector road (450 m) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.3 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $1 \times 2.4 \mathrm{~m}$ parking lane, $2 \times 2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ on road bike lanes ( 1.8 m lane +0.4 m buffer) or $2 \times 2.0$ cycle tracks (behind the curb), $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk |  | \$ 846,000.00 |
|  | West Residential | New residential local roads (1000 m) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk | \$ | 846,000.00 |
|  | West Industrial | New industrial / commercial local roads ( 350 m ) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk | \$ | 296,000.00 |
|  | South Industrial / Commercial | New industrial / commercial local roads ( 3500 m ) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk | \$ | 2,960,000.00 |
|  | East Residential | New residential local roads ( 2500 m ) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk | \$ | 2,114,000.00 |
|  |  |  | New Roads Sub-total | \$ | 7,062,000.00 |
| Road Upgrades | Union Road west of Culloden | Upgrade from local to collector (775 m) | Rural cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ paved shoulder | \$ | 852,000.00 |
|  | Union Road between Culloden \& Curry | Upgrade from local to collector ( 700 m ) | Rural cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ paved shoulder | \$ | 770,000.00 |
|  | Curry Road between Union and Plank | Upgrade from local to collector ( 2460 m ) | Rural cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ paved shoulder | \$ | 2,703,000.00 |
|  | Wallace Line (\& Thompson Road) | Upgrade from local to collector ( 3400 m ) | Rural cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ paved shoulder | \$ | 3,736,000.00 |
|  | Clarke Road east of Plank Line | Upgrade from rural to urban residential cross section ( 725 km ) | Urban cross section. Paved. $2 \times 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ travel lanes, $2 \times 2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ on road bike lanes (1.8 m lane +0.4 m buffer), $2 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ sidewalk | \$ | 1,112,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Road Upgrades Sub-total | \$ | 9,173,000.00 |
| Intersection Upgrades | Ingersoll Street \& King Street West | Signalization |  | \$ | 437,000.00 |
|  | Ingersoll Street \& Thomas Street | Signalization |  | \$ | 437,000.00 |
|  | Ingersoll Street \& Thompson Road | Add EB auxiliary left-turn lane | Storage $=30 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=30 \mathrm{~m}$ | \$ | 12,000.00 |
|  | Union Road \& Culloden Line | Signalization <br> Add NB auxiliary left-turn lane <br> Add SB auxiliary left-turn lane | Storage $=75 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=105 \mathrm{~m}$ (from Plank line \& Sweaburg Rd) <br> Storage $=75 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=105 \mathrm{~m}$ (from Plank line \& Sweaburg Rd) | \$ | 502,000.00 |
|  | Harris Street \& Clarke Road | Signalization <br> Add WB auxiliary left-turn lane Add NB auxiliary right-turn lane | Storage $=30 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=30 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Storage $=45 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=75 \mathrm{~m}$ (from Plank line \& Sweaburg Rd) | \$ | 470,000.00 |
|  | Plank Line \& Curry Road | Signalization <br> Add EB auxiliary left-turn lane <br> Add SB auxiliary right-turn lane | Storage $=30 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=30 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Storage $=45 \mathrm{~m}$, Taper $=75 \mathrm{~m}$ (from Plank line \& Sweaburg Rd) | \$ | 470,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Intersection Upgrades Sub-total | \$ | 2,328,000.00 |
| New Rail lines | North of Curry | New rail spur (750 m) | New Rail Lines Sub-total | \$ | 6,435,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 6,435,000.00 |
| Railway <br> Crossing <br> Upgrades | Curry Road | Active Crossing (flashing lights and bells) |  | \$ | 163,000.00 |
|  | King Street West | Active Crossing (flashing lights bells and gate) |  | \$ | 195,000.00 |
|  | Ingersoll Street | Active Crossing (flashing lights bells and gate) |  | \$ | 195,000.00 |
|  | Thomas Road west of Wallace Line | Active Crossing (flashing lights and bells) |  | \$ | 163,000.00 |
|  | Thomas Road east of Wallace Line | Active Crossing (flashing lights and bells) | Railway Crossing Upgrades Sub-total | \$ | 163,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 879,000.00 |
|  |  |  | OVERALL TOTAL | \$ | 25,877,000.00 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Growth forecast based on 2016 population and employment.

[^1]:    Capital cost estimates are compiled in Appendix H.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 - Traffic Signals, March 2012.

